

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/847,102	05/01/2001	Dennis A. Carson	220002062900	5759
7590 07/01/2004			EXAMINER	
Kevin L. Bastian, Esq. Townsend and Townsend Crew LLP Two Embarcadero Center, Eitht Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3834			YU, MISOOK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/200/	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

9						
	Application No. Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/847,102	CARSON ET AL.				
Advisory Aution	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MISOOK YU, Ph.D.	1642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 04 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to averinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application at a second application applic	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailinb) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office of the control	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>04 June 2004</u>. App 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF) 	ellant's Brief must be filed withir	•				
2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	, ,,,	п пе арреат.				
		and NOTE holow):				
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the						
issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): none.						
1. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant argues with the non-entered amendment.						
. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:		·				
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,16,28 and 29</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appl	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
LARRY R. HEL MS, PH. PRIMARY EXAMINE	D PRIMARY EXAMINER	Misook Yu, 6/28/04				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the new limitation "interferes with"..."signaling pathway in claim 5 requires further search because the newly presented limitation has not been searched before. Further, the limitation requires further consideration as to 112, 1 paragraph.